



1
1/14

PATENT
Attorney Docket No. 04329.2744

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)
Mayo Toyota et al.)
Application No.: 10/083,151) Group Art Unit: 2154
Filed: February 27, 2002) Examiner: JOO, Joshua
For: COMMUNITY-BASED COLLABORATIVE) Confirmation No.: 6611
KNOWLEDGE SYSTEM, AND MESSAGE)
SUBSCRIPTION TYPE SETTING METHOD)
AND REPLY MESSAGE PROCESSING)
METHOD IN THAT SYSTEM)

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c)

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56 and 1.97(c), Applicants bring to the attention of the Examiner the documents on the attached listing. This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed after the events recited in Section 1.97(b) but, to the undersigned's knowledge, before the mailing date of either a Final action, Quayle action, or a Notice of Allowance. Under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c), this Information Disclosure Statement includes a certification as specified by Section 1.97(e).

Each document listed in this Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in a Notification of Reasons for Rejection (the "Notification") from the Japanese Patent Office in a counterpart foreign application, and this Information Disclosure Statement is being filed within three months of the mailing date of that communication.

Copies of the listed foreign documents and nonpatent literature documents are attached.

Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner consider the listed documents and indicate that they were considered by making appropriate notations on the attached form.

In lieu of a statement of relevance or translation of the non-English documents, an English-language translation of the Notification citing these documents and setting forth the relevance thereof is enclosed. A copy of the Notification in Japanese is also enclosed. Applicants do not necessarily endorse the conclusions set forth in the Notification.

This submission does not represent that a search has been made or that no better art exists and does not constitute an admission that each or all of the listed documents are material or constitute "prior art." If the Examiner applies any of the documents as prior art against any claims in the application and Applicants determine that the cited documents do not constitute "prior art" under United States law, Applicants reserve the right to present to the Office the relevant facts and law regarding the appropriate status of such documents.

Applicants further reserve the right to take appropriate action to establish the patentability of the disclosed invention over the listed documents, should one or more of the documents be applied against the claims of the present application.

If there is any fee due in connection with the filing of this Statement, please charge the fee to Deposit Account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: October 29, 2007

By: Richard V. Burgujian
for Reg. No. 31,744